

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,415	03/10/2004	Patricia A. Baldwin	1322/122/2	4134
25297 75	90 . 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P. A.			TAYLOR, BARRY W	
3100 TOWER BLVD SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DURHAM, NC 27707			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,415	BALDWIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barry W. Taylor	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 22 still recites "form". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohli et al (5,937,412 hereinafter Kohli) in view of Baker et al (2002/0054587 hereinafter Baker).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,415

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 17. Kohli teaches using service independent blocks that include peg counters (col. 1 line 36 – col. 2 line 25, col. 4 lines 7-66, col. 6 lines 25-33), which may vary depending on the service, and operating environment in which the service is deployed. The service independent blocks are automatically identified for dissemination in the telecommunications network in order to save time and reduce human error (col. 2 lines 20-22).

Kohli does not explicitly show customers defining new peg counter definitions.

Baker teaches an integrated customer web station for web based call management wherein customers define peg count values to be used (abstract, paragraphs 0006, 0016, 0072, 0081, 0087, 0090-0091) thereby allowing customers the ability to manage their telecommunication assets, quickly and securely, from anywhere in the world (paragraphs 0008-0010, 0013-0018, 0045).

It would have been obvious to any one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the teachings of Baker into the teachings of Kohli in order to allow customers the ability to define peg counters so that telecommunication assets may be managed from anywhere in the world as taught by Baker.

Regarding claim 18. Kohli teaches SS7 links (see Figure 1).

Regarding claim 19. Kohli teaches packet protocol (col. 2 lines 50-65, col. 4 line 64).

Regarding claim 20. Kohli teaches IP signaling links (see figure 1 wherein IP signal links shown).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 21. Kohli teaches signaling link probes (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 22. Kohli teaches site collectors adapted to receive signaling messages from message copy function (col. 1 line 63 – col. 2 line 26).

Regarding claims 23-24. Kohli further teaches user allowed to select information (col. 2 lines 7-26).

Regarding claims 25-27. Kohli teaches down loading definitions (col. 2 lines 7-26, col. 4 lines 7-66).

Method claims 1-16 are rejected for the same reasons as apparatus claims 17-27 since the recited apparatus would perform the claimed steps.

Program claims 28-37 are rejected for the same reasons as apparatus claims 17-37 and method claims 1-16 since the recited apparatus and method would perform the claimed program steps.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 or 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry W. Taylor, telephone number (571) 272-7509, who is available Monday-Friday, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached at (571) 272-7499. The central facsimile phone number for this group is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/797,415

Art Unit: 2643

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Centralized Delivery Policy: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the central fax number (571-273-8300).

Page 5

Barry W. Taylor Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2600

Art Unit 2643